

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/021,663	10/23/2001	Nick R. Mann	LIT-PI-544	5564
7590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER		
Stephen R. Christian			CINTINS, IVARS C	
P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			Applicant(s)			
		10/021,663	MANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication annual	Ivars C. Cintins	1724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocuse the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 July 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 38-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 38-52 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicate ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/021,663

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 38-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,514,566). As pointed out in the previous Office action, the reference discloses an ion processing system comprising a column assembly having an inlet and an outlet, which column assembly contains a composite medium of the type recited. Applicant should note that at least two of the spherical particles 304 in this reference system are in contact with one another (see Fig. 2), and this is all that is required by the limitation "a plurality of discrete particles in mutual contact," as now recited in the claims of this application. Also, since the interstitial regions between the particles contain a material (i.e. substrate material 302) that is capable of passing a fluid therethrough (see col. 8, line 2), this substrate material must inherently contain "at least one void," as now further recited in the claims of this application.

Applicant's arguments filed June 7, 2004 have been noted and carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive of patentability. Applicant argues that the Mann Patent fails to disclose a composite medium comprising "a plurality of discrete particles in mutual contact arranged to define a plurality of interstitial regions therebetween, each of the interstitial regions comprising at least one void." It is pointed out, however, that although most of the discrete particles 304 in this reference system are separated from one another, at least one of these particles is in contact with an adjacent particle (see Fig. 2, right center portion of chamber 203);

Art Unit: 1724

and this is all that is required by the term "a plurality of discrete particles in mutual contact."

Applicant should further note that the interstitial regions between the particles in this reference system contain a substrate material which is capable of passing a fluid therethrough (see col. 8, line 2); and therefore, this substrate material must inherently contain "at least one void."

Applicant also argues, with respect to claims 49 and 51, that although Fig. 2 of the reference illustrates particles having a circular cross-section, this does not necessarily mean that they are spherical, since they could have an elongated structure, such as a rod shape. Again, this argument has been noted and carefully considered, but is not deemed to be persuasive of patentability since this reference clearly discloses (see col. 2, line 36) that the particles have a spherical shape.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins September 19, 2004